Case 1:08-cv-04631-AKH	Document 1	Filed 05/16/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
ROBERT DWYER AND DANIELLA DWYER		DOCKET NO.	
- against - A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	ntiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SH COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINTIFF(S) DEI JURY	E
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C	omplaints for al		
All headings and paragraphs in instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth he Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. Thes	nerein in additio	on to those paragraphs	specific to the individu

the ual (s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, ROBERT DWYER AND DANIELLA DWYER, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

tizen of	New York residing at 237 Ce	nter Lane, Levittown, N	Y 11756	
		(OR)		
2.	☐ Alternatively,	is the	of Decedent	
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of	•

Case 1:0	8-cv-04631-AKH Documer	t 1 Filed 05/16/2008 Pag	e 2 of 11	
	SPOUSE at all relevant times ROBERT DWYER, and bring injuries sustained by her husba	after the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is 1756-, and has the following relat herein, is and has been lawfully many this derivative action for her (hand (his wife), Plaintiff ROBERT Other:	narried to Plaintiff is) loss due to the DWYER.	
4. In the New York (FDNY)	<u> -</u>	2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked	for Fire Department	
Please	be as specific as possible when f	illing in the following dates and lo	ocations	
From on or about <u>9/</u> Approximately <u>12</u> h Approximately <u>40</u> d	lding, quadrant, etc.) 11/2001 until 4/30/2002; ours per day; for ays total.	The Barge From on or about u Approximately hours Approximately days days Dther:* For injured plaintif	s per day; for total.	
From on or about	City Medical Examiner's Office until, hours per day; for	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
Approximately	until; hours per day; for days total.	From on or about unto Approximately hours Approximately days Name and Address of Non-WT Building/Worksite:	s per day; for total;	
*Continue this info		aper if necessary. If more space is rate sheet of paper with the inform		
✓ above ✓ dates	Was exposed to and inhaled or at the site(s) indicated above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the ringested toxic substances and pa or touched toxic or caustic substa	rticulates on all	
It is ve	Please read this do Please read this do	cument carefully. and every section of this document.		

2 of 9

6.

 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn 	Injure	d Plaintiff
 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any 		§405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
 by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any 		405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any		
		405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING			
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.			
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.			
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,			
	INC.			
h the CITY held a hearing on (OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.			
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED			
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.			
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP			
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL			
(OR)	CORPORATION			
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.			
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION			
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.			
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,			
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.			
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION			
determination	CORP			
is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.			
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.			
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP			
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC. ☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.			
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND				
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.			
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	✓ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP			
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.D. CONTRACTING CORP			
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF			
York on	NEW YORK, INC.			
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC			
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.			
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	✓ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH			
adjusted this claim	☐ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP			
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.			
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.			
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.			
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS			
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP			
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY			
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.			
4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.			
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EJ DAVIES, INC.			
5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EN-TECH CORP			
5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL			
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL			

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
□ Non-WTC Site Lessee	
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-04631-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/16/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

☑ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☑; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has							
remo	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	C. § 1441.				
	III CAUSES OF ACTION						
of lial	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:						
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation				
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 				
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined				
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death				
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff				

Other: _

Case 1:08-cv-04631-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/16/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A.			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Bronchitis; Cough;		V	Fear of Cancer
_	Pneumonia; Respiratory Problems;			Date of onset: <u>3/1/2007</u>
	Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems			Date physician first connected this injury
	Date of onset: 3/1/2007			to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
	Date physician first connected this injury to			date
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			
				
V	Digestive Injury: PTSD		√	Other Injury: <u>N/A.</u>
	Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			to WTC work:
	**			
NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.				
	, c			
2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the				
Groun	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	·w	ill in th	ne future suffer the following compensable
dama	ges:			
====	- 	==	=====	
\checkmark	Pain and suffering			
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life			

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

Loss of earnings and/or impairment of

Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of

Expenses for medical care, treatment, and

earning capacity

retirement benefits

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

✓ Other: Not yet determined.

rehabilitation

Other:

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York April 29, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Robert Dwyer and Daniella Dwyer

Bv:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action. That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
April 29, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Case 1:08-cv-04631-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/16/2008 Page 11 of 11

Docket No:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
ROBERT DWYER (AND WIFE, DANIELLA DWYER),
Plaintiff(s) - against -
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
Defendant(s).
SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
To Attorney(s) for
Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
Attorney(s) for
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \[\textstyle \text{NOTICE OF ENTRY} \\ that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc.,
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP
